

DYNAMICAL CANONICAL HEIGHTS FOR JORDAN BLOCKS AND ARITHMETIC DEGREES OF ORBITS

SHU KAWAGUCHI AND JOSEPH H. SILVERMAN

ABSTRACT. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an endomorphism of a normal projective variety defined over a global field K , and let $D_0, D_1, \dots \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ form a Jordan block with eigenvalue λ for the action of f^* on $\text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. We construct associated canonical height functions $\hat{h}_{D_k}$ satisfying Jordan transformation formulas $\hat{h}_{D_k} \circ f = \lambda \hat{h}_{D_k} + \hat{h}_{D_{k-1}}$. As an application, we prove that for every $x \in X(\bar{K})$, the arithmetic degree $\alpha_f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_X(f^n(x))^{1/n}$ of x exists and is an algebraic integer. Further, for $X = E^N$ a power of a non-CM elliptic curve, we prove that if the orbit of x is Zariski dense in X , then $\alpha_f(x)$ is equal to the dynamical degree of f .

INTRODUCTION

Let K be a global field,¹ let X/K be a normal projective variety, let $h_X : X(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Weil height associated to an ample divisor, and let $h_X^+ = \max\{h_X, 1\}$. We consider an endomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X$ defined over K and a point $x \in X(\bar{K})$. The *f-arithmetic degree* of x is the quantity

$$\alpha_f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_X^+(f^n(x))^{1/n},$$

if the limit exists; see [5, 9]. The arithmetic degree of x provides a rough, but useful, measure of the arithmetic complexity of the f -orbit of x .

Date: 8 January 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37P15; Secondary: 37P05, 37P30, 37P55, 11G50.

Key words and phrases. canonical height; arithmetic degree.

The first author's research supported by JSPS grant-in-aid for young scientists (B) 24740015. The second author's research supported by NSF DMS-0854755 and Simons Collaboration Grant #241309.

¹By a global field we mean a field K , a fixed algebraic closure $\bar{K}$, and a collection of absolute values on $\bar{K}$ such that there is a well-defined theory of Weil height functions, as explained for example in [6, Chapters 1–4].

Theorem 1. *Let K be a global field, let X/K be a normal projective variety, and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a K -morphism. Then for every $x \in X(\bar{K})$, the limit defining the arithmetic degree $\alpha_f(x)$ converges. Further, the collection of arithmetic degrees*

$$\{\alpha_f(x) : x \in X(\bar{K})\}$$

is a finite set of algebraic integers.

Theorem 1 proves, for morphisms, various conjectures stated more generally for rational maps in [5, 9]. The proof of Theorem 1 uses an extension of the classical theory of dynamical canonical heights [3] to Jordan blocks. We recall that if a divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies a linear equivalence $f^*D \sim \lambda D$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| > 1$, then the classical theory of canonical heights [3] says that for every $x \in X(\bar{K})$, the Tate limit

$$\hat{h}_{f,D}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-n} h_D(f^n(x))$$

exists, and the resulting function $\hat{h}_{f,D} : X(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following functional equation and normalization condition:

$$\hat{h}_{f,D} \circ f = \lambda \hat{h}_{f,D} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}_{f,D} = h_D + O(1).$$

We generalize this construction to allow a sequence of divisors that form a Jordan block for the action of f^* on $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Theorem 2. *Let X/K be a normal projective variety, let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a K -morphism, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| > 1$, and let²*

$$D_0, D_1, D_2, \dots \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

be divisors satisfying linear equivalences in Jordan block form,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f^*D_0 & \sim & \lambda D_0 \\ f^*D_1 & \sim & D_0 + \lambda D_1 \\ f^*D_2 & \sim & D_1 + \lambda D_2 \\ \vdots & & \ddots \end{array}$$

Further, for each k , let h_{D_k} be a Weil height function associated to the divisor D_k .

(a) *There are unique functions*

$$\hat{h}_{D_0}, \hat{h}_{D_1}, \hat{h}_{D_2}, \dots : X(\bar{K}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

satisfying both the normalization conditions

$$\hat{h}_{D_k} = h_{D_k} + O(1) \tag{1}$$

²For groups such as $\text{Div}(X)$, $\text{Pic}(X)$ and $\text{NS}(X)$, we use subscripts to denote extension of scalars, so for example, $\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

and the functional equations

$$\hat{h}_{D_k} \circ f = \lambda \hat{h}_{D_k} + \hat{h}_{D_{k-1}}, \quad (2)$$

where by convention we set $\hat{h}_{D_{-1}} = 0$.

- (b) The canonical height functions described in (a) satisfy the recursively defined limit formulas

$$\hat{h}_{D_k}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lambda^{-n} h_k(f^n(x)) - \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{i} \lambda^{-i} \hat{h}_{D_{k-i}}(x) \right). \quad (3)$$

We next make a number of remarks, after which we give a brief section-by-section summary of the contents of the paper.

Remark 3. Iterating (2) gives a general functional equation

$$\hat{h}_{D_k} \circ f^n = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} \lambda^{n-i} \hat{h}_{D_{k-i}}, \quad (4)$$

valid for all $n \geq 0$; see Remark 9.

Remark 4. The existence of the limit defining the arithmetic degree $\alpha_f(x)$ is significant, since it was observed in [5] that the existence of $\alpha_f(x)$ determines the asymptotic growth of the orbit counting function via the formula

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{n \geq 0 : h_X(f^n(x)) \leq T\}}{\log T} = \frac{1}{\log \alpha_f(x)},$$

where the limit is understood to be ∞ if $\alpha_f(x) = 1$.

Remark 5. An elaboration of the proof of Theorem 8 can be used to construct local canonical height functions (also sometimes called Green functions) that are appropriately normalized and satisfy analogous local functional equations. We refer the reader to [3] for a detailed description of the case of a single eigendivisor $f^*D \sim \lambda D$. But the focus of this paper is on global results, so we have restricted attention to that case.

Remark 6. If the Jordan block linear equivalences in Theorem 2 are replaced by algebraic equivalences, then a modification of the proof of Theorem 2 gives canonical heights for points satisfying whose (upper) arithmetic degrees are smaller than $|\lambda|^2$. These heights satisfy the functional equation (2) and a weak form of the normalization condition (1). We briefly describe these “algebraic equivalence heights” and their properties, without proof, in Section 4.

We conclude the introduction with a summary of the contents of this paper. Section 1 begins by setting notation and proving an elementary estimate for powers of a Jordan matrix. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 2, which we restate slightly more generally as Theorem 8. This gives the existence of canonical heights for Jordan blocks. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1 showing that for morphisms, the arithmetic degree exists and has various useful properties. In Section 4 we state, without proof, a version of Theorem 2 in which the linear equivalences are replaced by algebraic equivalences. In Section 5 we study canonical heights and arithmetic degrees on a power E^N of a non-CM elliptic curve. In this setting we prove that if the orbit of a point is Zariski dense, then its arithmetic degree takes on its maximum possible value, as predicted by a conjecture in [5]. Finally, in Section 6 we illustrate the general theory by explicitly computing 3-dimensional Jordan block canonical heights for isogenies $f : E^2 \rightarrow E^2$ of the form $f(x, y) = (ax + by, ay)$.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Rob Benedetto for a helpful suggestion that was instrumental in the eventual proof of Theorem 1.

1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

In this section we set notation and give definitions that will be used throughout the remainder of this paper. We begin by fixing:

- K a global field.
- X/K a normal projective variety.
- f a K -morphism $f : X \rightarrow X$.
- h_X a Weil height on $X(\bar{K})$ relative to an ample divisor.
- $h_X^+ = \max\{h_X, 1\}$.

Definition. Let $x \in X(\bar{K})$. The *arithmetic degree* of x is the limit

$$\alpha_f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_X^+(f^n(x))^{1/n},$$

if the limit exists. In any case, the *upper and lower arithmetic degrees* of x are the quantities

$$\underline{\alpha}_f(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_X^+(f^n(x))^{1/n}, \quad \overline{\alpha}_f(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_X^+(f^n(x))^{1/n}. \quad (5)$$

Definition. For a given $\ell \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we write Λ for the $(\ell + 1)$ -dimensional lower Jordan block matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since we will be studying relationships between height functions associated to many different divisors, it is convenient to use vector-valued height functions; cf. [1].

Definition. For divisors $D_0, \dots, D_\ell \in \text{Div}(X)_\mathbb{C}$ and associated height functions $h_{D_0}, \dots, h_{D_\ell}$, we define a (column) vector-valued height function

$$\mathbf{h}_D : X(\bar{K}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}, \quad \mathbf{h}_D(x) = {}^t(h_{D_0}(x), \dots, h_{D_\ell}(x)).$$

If the divisors and heights have been fixed, to ease notation we write

$$h_k = h_{D_k} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq \ell.$$

Definition. We use $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the sup norm of a vector or a matrix, i.e., for vectors $\mathbf{a} = (a_i)$ and matrices $B = (b_{ij})$ with complex coordinates,

$$\|\mathbf{a}\| = \max |a_i| \quad \text{and} \quad \|B\| = \max |b_{ij}|.$$

We will frequently use the elementary triangle inequality estimate

$$\|B\mathbf{a}\| \leq \dim(B) \cdot \|B\| \cdot \|\mathbf{a}\|.$$

We prove two elementary estimates about the powers of a Jordan matrix.

Lemma 7. (a) For all $n \geq 1$,

$$\|\Lambda^n\| \leq n^\ell \max\{|\lambda|, 1\}^n.$$

(b) If $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ is a nonzero vector, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Lambda^n \mathbf{v}\|^{1/n} = |\lambda|.$$

Proof. We write $\Lambda = \lambda I + N$ with N nilpotent. Then $N^{\ell+1} = 0$, so

$$\Lambda^n = (\lambda I + N)^n = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \binom{n}{i} \lambda^{n-i} N^i.$$

(a) Using the nilpotent form of N , we see that the ij^{th} -entry of Λ^n is

$$\Lambda_{ij}^n = \binom{n}{i-j} \lambda^{n-i-j},$$

where we set $\binom{n}{k}\lambda^k = 0$ if $k < 0$, and of course $\binom{n}{k}\lambda^k = 0$ if $k > n$. Hence

$$\|\Lambda^n\| = \max_{0 \leq k \leq \min\{\ell, n\}} \binom{n}{k} |\lambda|^{n-k} \leq n^\ell \max\{|\lambda|, 1\}^n.$$

(b) If $\lambda = 0$, then $\Lambda^{\ell+1} = 0$, so the result is trivially true. We assume now that $\lambda \neq 0$. We write $\mathbf{v} = {}^t(v_0, \dots, v_\ell)$. Then the i^{th} -coordinate of $\Lambda^n \mathbf{v}$ satisfies

$$(\Lambda^n \mathbf{v})_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \Lambda_{ij}^n v_j = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{n}{i-j} \lambda^{n-i-j} v_j = O(n^\ell \lambda^n). \quad (6)$$

Next, since $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, there is an index $0 \leq k \leq \ell$ such that

$$v_0 = \dots = v_{k-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_k \neq 0.$$

Hence the last coordinate of $\Lambda^n \mathbf{v}$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda^n \mathbf{v})_\ell &= \binom{n}{\ell-k} \lambda^{n-\ell-k} v_k + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\ell} \binom{n}{\ell-j} \lambda^{n-\ell-j} v_j \\ &= \binom{n}{\ell-k} \lambda^{n-\ell-k} v_k + O(n^{\ell-k-1} \lambda^n) \\ &= n^{\ell-k} \lambda^n (\gamma + O(n^{-1})) \quad \text{with } \gamma \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

It follows from (6) and (7) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(\Lambda^n \mathbf{v})_i|^{1/n} \leq |\lambda| \quad \text{for all } i, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(\Lambda^n \mathbf{v})_\ell|^{1/n} = |\lambda|.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Lambda^n \mathbf{v}\|^{1/n} = |\lambda|,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 7. $\square$

2. JORDAN BLOCK CANONICAL HEIGHTS

In this section we construct canonical heights associated to Jordan blocks in $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$. These exist for eigenvalues satisfying $|\lambda| > 1$. We also give an estimate that holds for all λ .

Theorem 8. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and assume that the divisors $D_0, D_1, \dots, D_\ell \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ form a Jordan block in $\text{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$,*

$$f^* D_0 \sim \lambda D_0, \quad f^* D_1 \sim D_0 + \lambda D_1, \quad \dots \quad f^* D_\ell \sim D_{\ell-1} + \lambda D_\ell. \quad (8)$$

(a) *There is a constant $C = C(D_0, \dots, D_\ell, \lambda)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{h}_D(f^n(x))\| &\leq C n^\ell \max\{|\lambda|, 1\}^n \cdot (\|\mathbf{h}_D(x)\| + 1) \\ &\quad \text{for all } x \in X(\bar{K}) \text{ and all } n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) If $|\lambda| > 1$, then there is a unique function $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}} : X(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ satisfying the functional equation

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}} \circ f = \Lambda \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}} \quad (9)$$

and the normalization condition

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}} + O(1). \quad (10)$$

- (c) The coordinate functions of the canonical height function

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}} = {}^t(\hat{h}_0, \hat{h}_1, \dots, \hat{h}_{\ell})$$

described in (b) satisfy the recursion relations

$$\hat{h}_k(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lambda^{-n} h_k(f^n(x)) - \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{i} \lambda^{-i} \hat{h}_{k-i}(x) \right). \quad (11)$$

Remark 9. The functional equation for the individual $\hat{h}_k \circ f^n$ mentioned in Remark 3 follows immediately from the functional equation (9). To see this, we iterate (9) to obtain $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}} \circ f^n = \Lambda^n \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}}$, expand $\Lambda^n = (\lambda I + N)^n$ using the binomial theorem, and apply the fact (already used in the proof of Lemma 7 (a)) that $\Lambda_{ij}^n = \binom{n}{i-j} \lambda^{n-i-j}$.

Proof of Theorem 8. We define a vector-valued “error function”

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{D}} : X(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell+1}, \quad \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}} \circ f - \Lambda \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}}.$$

The assumption (8) that $D_0, \dots, D_{\ell}$ form a Jordan block says that each coordinate function of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{D}}$ is a Weil height function with respect to a divisor that is linearly equivalent to zero. A standard property of Weil height functions [4, Theorem B.3.2(d)] then implies that there is a constant C_1 such that

$$\|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{D}}(x)\| \leq C_1 \quad \text{for all } x \in X(\bar{K}). \quad (12)$$

We now begin the proof of (a). For $N \geq 1$ we consider the telescoping sum

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}} \circ f^N &= \Lambda^N \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}} + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Lambda^{N-n-1} (\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}} \circ f^{n+1} - \Lambda \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}} \circ f^n) \\ &= \Lambda^N \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}} + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Lambda^{N-n-1} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{D}} \circ f^n. \end{aligned}$$

To ease notation, we let

$$|\lambda^+| = \max\{|\lambda|, 1\},$$

so Lemma 7(a) says that $\|\Lambda^n\| \leq n^\ell |\lambda^+|^n$. For $x \in X(\bar{K})$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{h}_D(f^N(x))\| \\
& \leq \|\Lambda^N \mathbf{h}_D(x)\| + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|\Lambda^{N-n-1} \mathbf{E}_D(f^n(x))\| \\
& \leq (\ell+1) \|\Lambda^N\| \cdot \|\mathbf{h}_D(x)\| + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\ell+1) \|\Lambda^{N-n-1}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{E}_D(f^n(x))\| \\
& \leq (\ell+1) N^\ell |\lambda^+|^N \cdot \|\mathbf{h}_D(x)\| \\
& \quad + (\ell+1) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (N-n-1)^\ell |\lambda^+|^{N-n-1} \cdot \|\mathbf{E}_D(f^n(x))\| \\
& \hspace{15em} \text{from Lemma 7(a),} \\
& \leq (\ell+1) N^\ell |\lambda^+|^N \cdot \|\mathbf{h}_D(x)\| \\
& \quad + (\ell+1) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (N-n-1)^\ell |\lambda^+|^{N-n-1} C_1 \quad \text{from (12),} \\
& \leq (\ell+1) N^\ell |\lambda^+|^N \cdot \|\mathbf{h}_D(x)\| + C_1 (\ell+1) N^\ell |\lambda^+|^N.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (a).

We next show that there is at most one vector-valued height function $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D$ satisfying the conditions given in (b). So we suppose that $\hat{\mathbf{h}}'_D$ is another such function, we let $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_D = \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D - \hat{\mathbf{h}}'_D$, and we prove by contradiction that $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_D = 0$. So we suppose that there is a point $x \in X(\bar{K})$ such that $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_D(x) \neq 0$.

Taking the difference of the functional equations for $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D$ and $\hat{\mathbf{h}}'_D$ gives a functional equation

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_D \circ f = \Lambda \hat{\mathbf{g}}_D \tag{13}$$

for $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_D$. This allows us to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda^+| &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Lambda^n \hat{\mathbf{g}}_D(x)\|^{1/n} \quad \text{from Lemma 7(b), since } \hat{\mathbf{g}}_D(x) \neq 0, \\
&= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_D(f^n(x))\|^{1/n} \quad \text{from (13),} \\
&= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D(f^n(x)) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}'_D(f^n(x))\|^{1/n} \quad \text{definition of } \hat{\mathbf{g}}_D,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^n(x)) - \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^n(x))\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}'_{\mathbf{D}}(f^n(x)) - \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^n(x))\| \right)^{1/n} \\
&\leq 1 \quad \text{from (10).}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\lambda| \leq 1$, which contradicts the assumption in (b) that $|\lambda| > 1$. This contradiction completes the proof that $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\mathbf{D}} = 0$, which shows that $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}}$ is uniquely determined by (9) and (10).

The assumption that $|\lambda| > 1$ allows us to estimate the norm of the negative powers of Λ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda^{-n}\| &= \|(\lambda I + N)^{-n}\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \binom{-n}{i} \lambda^{-n+i} N^i \right\| \quad \text{since } N^{\ell+1} = 0, \\
&\leq |\lambda|^{-n+\ell} \cdot (\ell+1) \cdot \max_{0 \leq i \leq \ell} \left| \binom{-n}{i} \right| \\
&\leq C_2 n^{\ell} |\lambda|^{-n},
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the constant C_2 depends on ℓ and λ , but does not depend on n .

Claim 10. *For all $x \in X(\bar{K})$, the vector-valued series*

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}}(x) := \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}}(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{-n-1} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^n(x)) \tag{15}$$

is absolutely convergent and defines a vector-valued height function

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}} : X(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell+1} \quad \text{satisfying} \quad \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}}(x) - \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}}(x)\| \leq C$$

for a constant C that is independent of x .

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\Lambda^{-n-1} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^n(x))\| &\leq (\ell+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\Lambda^{-n-1}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^n(x))\| \\
&\leq C_3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{\ell} |\lambda|^{-n} \|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^n(x))\| \quad \text{from (14),} \\
&\leq C_3 C_1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{\ell} |\lambda|^{-n} \quad \text{from (12),} \\
&\leq C_4 \quad \text{since } |\lambda| > 1 \text{ by assumption.}
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the series appearing in (15) is absolutely convergent, while simultaneously giving the desired upper bound for $\|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D(x) - \mathbf{h}_D(x)\|$, which completes the proof of the claim. $\square$

Claim 10 gives us a well-defined function $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D : X(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell+1}$ that satisfies the normalization condition (10). It remains to prove that $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D$ satisfies the functional equation (9). Since we know that the series defining $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D$ is absolutely convergent, the proof is a formal calculation using the definitions of $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D$ and $\mathbf{E}_D$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D \circ f &= \mathbf{h}_D \circ f + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{-n-1} \mathbf{E}_D \circ f^{n+1} \\ &= \mathbf{h}_D \circ f + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda^{-n} \mathbf{E}_D \circ f^n \\ &= \mathbf{h}_D \circ f - \mathbf{E}_D + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{-n} \mathbf{E}_D \circ f^n \\ &= \Lambda \mathbf{h}_D + \Lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{-n-1} \mathbf{E}_D \circ f^n \\ &= \Lambda \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D. \end{aligned}$$

(c) We have already proven that the canonical height function $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D$ exists and satisfies a normalization condition and a functional equation. In particular, the normalization condition implies that

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D \circ f^n = \mathbf{h}_D \circ f^n + O(1). \quad (16)$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D &= \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D + \lambda^{-n}(\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D \circ f^n - \Lambda^n \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D) \quad \text{from the functional equation,} \\ &= \lambda^{-n} \mathbf{h}_D \circ f^n - ((\lambda^{-1} \Lambda)^n - I) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D + \lambda^{-n}(\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D \circ f^n - \mathbf{h}_D \circ f^n) \\ &= \lambda^{-n} \mathbf{h}_D \circ f^n - ((\lambda^{-1} \Lambda)^n - I) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D + O(\lambda^{-n}) \quad \text{from (16),} \\ &= \lambda^{-n} \mathbf{h}_D \circ f^n - ((I + \lambda^{-1} N)^n - I) \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D + O(\lambda^{-n}) \\ &= \lambda^{-n} \mathbf{h}_D \circ f^n - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n}{i} \lambda^{-i} N^i \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D + O(\lambda^{-n}) \quad \text{since } N^{\ell+1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at x and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_D(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lambda^{-n} \mathbf{h}_D(f^n(x)) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{n}{i} \lambda^{-i} N^i \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D(x) \right). \quad (17)$$

Multiplication by the matrix N is the right-shift operator

$${}^t(a_0, \dots, a_\ell) \longmapsto {}^t(0, a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1}),$$

so the k^{th} -coordinate of $N^i \hat{\mathbf{h}}_D(x)$ is $\hat{h}_{k-i}$. (By convention we set $\hat{h}_j = 0$ if $j < 0$.) This shows that the vector formula (17) is a succinct way of writing the formulas (11) that we are trying to prove. $\square$

3. AN APPLICATION TO ARITHMETIC DEGREES

In this section we prove Theorem 1, which says that for morphisms $f : X \rightarrow X$, the arithmetic degree $\alpha_f(x)$ of a point $x \in X(\bar{K})$ exists, is an algebraic integer, and takes on only finitely many values as x ranges over $X(\bar{K})$.

We recall that the lower and upper arithmetic degrees (5) are defined, respectively, to be the liminf and limsup of $h_X^+(f^n(x))^{1/n}$. It is proven in [5, Proposition 13] that the values of $\underline{\alpha}_f(x)$ and $\overline{\alpha}_f(x)$ do not depend on the choice of the ample height function h_X .

Before beginning the proof of Theorem 1, we note that the equivalence class of Weil height functions associated to a divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ consists of complex valued functions

$$h_D : X(\bar{K}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

obtained by writing D as a linear combination

$$D = c_1 D_1 + \dots + c_t D_t \quad \text{with } c_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } D_i \in \text{Div}(X)$$

and setting

$$h_D = c_1 h_{D_1} + \dots + c_t h_{D_t} + O(1).$$

On the other hand, we note that the concept of ample divisors only makes sense in $\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, not in $\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Despite this potential problem, the following useful lemma uses divisors in $\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ to say something about arithmetic degrees, whose definition requires using a height associated to an ample divisor.

Lemma 11. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a morphism, let $D \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ be any divisor, and let $x \in X(\bar{K})$. Then*

$$\underline{\alpha}_f(x) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h_D(f^n(x))|^{1/n}. \quad (18)$$

Proof. If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h_D(f^n(x))| \neq \infty,$$

then the right-hand side of (18) is less than or equal to 1, so (18) is automatically true, since the definition of $\underline{\alpha}_f(x)$ ensures that $\underline{\alpha}_f(x) \geq 1$.

We may thus assume that $|h_D(f^n(x))| \rightarrow \infty$.

Any two nontrivial norms on $\mathbb{C}$ are related by $|z|_1 \asymp |z|_2$, so the right-hand side of (18) is independent of the chosen norm on $\mathbb{C}$. We will use the norm $|a + bi| = \max\{|a|, |b|\}$. We write

$$D = D_1 + iD_2 \quad \text{with } D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

We fix an ample divisor $H \in \text{Div}(X)$. Then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ so that the four divisors

$$H + \epsilon D_1, \quad H - \epsilon D_1, \quad H + \epsilon D_2, \quad H - \epsilon D_2$$

are all in the ample cone. Since H is ample, we may choose a height function h_H for H satisfying $h_H \geq 0$.

Using standard properties of height functions, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{h_{H+\epsilon D_1}, h_{H-\epsilon D_1}\} &= h_H + \epsilon \max\{h_{D_1}, -h_{D_1}\} + O(1) \\ &= h_H + \epsilon |h_{D_1}| + O(1) \\ &\geq \epsilon |h_{D_1}| + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows because $h_H \geq 0$. Replacing D_1 with D_2 gives an analogous inequality, so we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{h_{H+\epsilon D_1}, h_{H-\epsilon D_1}, h_{H+\epsilon D_2}, h_{H-\epsilon D_2}\} &\geq \epsilon \max\{|h_{D_1}|, |h_{D_2}|\} + O(1) \\ &= \epsilon |h_D| + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We now evaluate (19) at $f^n(x)$, take the n^{th} -root, and take the $\liminf$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since the divisors $H \pm \epsilon D_i$ are all ample, we can use the fact that $\underline{\alpha}_f(x)$ may be computed using any ample divisor [5, Proposition 13] to deduce that the left-hand side of (19) goes to $\underline{\alpha}_f(x)$. On the other hand, since $\epsilon > 0$ and $|h_D(f^n(x))| \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the right-hand side of (19) is equal to $\liminf |h_D(f^n(x))|^{1/n}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. We recall that the Picard group of X fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{NS}(X) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $A = \text{Pic}^0(X)$ is an abelian variety defined over K , and where the Néron–Severi group $\text{NS}(X)$ is a finitely generated abelian group by the theorem of the base [6, Chapter 6, Theorem 6.1]. Taking $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -invariants gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A(K) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X)(K) \longrightarrow \text{NS}(X)(K).$$

We note that if K is a number field, then the classical Mordell–Weil theorem [6, Chapter 6, Theorem 1] says that $A(K)$ is finitely generated, and hence in the number field case we see that $\text{Pic}(X)(K)$ is a finitely generated abelian group. On the other hand, if K is a function field over the constant field k , then the relative Mordell–Weil theorem [6,

Chapter 6, Theorem 2] says that $A(K)/\tau_{K/k}(A)(k)$ is finitely generated, where $\tau_{K/k}(A)$ denotes the K/k -trace of A . In particular, if A has no constant part, i.e., if $\tau_{K/k}(A) = 0$, then $\text{Pic}^0(X)(K)$ is finitely generated. We are first going to prove Theorem 1 under the

Assumption: $\text{Pic}(X)(K)$ is a finitely generated abelian group.

At the end we briefly indicate how this assumption may be removed. The map f induces a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear transformation

$$f^* : \text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

of the finite-dimensional complex vector space $\text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}}$. We choose divisors $E_1, \dots, E_{\rho} \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ whose divisor classes form a basis for $\text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the associated matrix of f^* is in Jordan normal form. Thus for each $1 \leq i \leq \rho$, we have either

$$f^* E_i \sim \lambda_i E_i \quad \text{or} \quad f^* E_i \sim \lambda_i E_i + E_{i-1},$$

where by convention we set $E_0 = 0$.

Relabeling the divisors, we may assume that

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_{\sigma}| > 1 \geq |\lambda_{\sigma+1}| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_{\rho}|. \quad (20)$$

Theorem 8(d) and Remark 4 tell us that for each $1 \leq i \leq \sigma$, there is a canonical height function $\hat{h}_{E_i}$ having various useful properties, including

$$\hat{h}_{E_i} = h_{E_i} + O(1) \quad (21)$$

and

$$\hat{h}_{E_i}(f^n(x)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell(i)} \binom{n}{j} \lambda_i^{n-j} \hat{h}_{E_{i-j}}(x), \quad (22)$$

where $\ell(i)$ is chosen so that $E_i, E_{i-1}, \dots, E_{i-\ell(i)}$ is the appropriate piece of the Jordan block that contains E_i .

On the other hand, for $\sigma < i \leq \rho$, taking the n^{th} -root of Theorem 8(a) and using the fact that $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ for these i , we find that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h_{E_i}(f^n(x))|^{1/n} \leq 1. \quad (23)$$

Now take a point $x \in X(\bar{K})$. We first consider the case that $\hat{h}_{E_i}(x) \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq \sigma$. We let k be the smallest such index, so

$$\hat{h}_{E_k}(x) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}_{E_{k-1}}(x) = \hat{h}_{E_{k-2}}(x) = \dots = \hat{h}_{E_1}(x) = 0. \quad (24)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{h}_{E_k}(f^n(x)) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell(k)} \binom{n}{j} \lambda_k^{n-j} \hat{h}_{E_{k-j}}(x) && \text{from (22),} \\ &= \lambda_k^n \hat{h}_{E_k}(x) && \text{from (24).}\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

This allows us to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\alpha}_f(x) &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h_{E_k}(f^n(x))|^{1/n} && \text{from Lemma 11,} \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|\hat{h}_{E_k}(f^n(x))| - O(1) \right)^{1/n} && \text{from (21),} \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|\lambda_k^n \hat{h}_{E_k}(x)| - O(1) \right)^{1/n} && \text{from (25),} \\ &= |\lambda_k| \quad \text{since } |\lambda_k| > 1 \text{ and } \hat{h}_{E_k}(x) \neq 0.\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

In order to find a complementary upper bound, we note that since X is projective and defined over K , we can embed X in $\mathbb{P}_K^m$ and take a K -rational hyperplane section to find a (very) ample divisor $H \in \text{Div}(X)(K)$. We write the divisor class of H in $\text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}}$ in terms of our basis,

$$H \sim c_1 E_1 + \cdots + c_\rho E_\rho \quad \text{with } c_1, \dots, c_\rho \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $|\lambda_k| > 1$, we can fix an ϵ satisfying $0 < \epsilon < |\lambda_k| - 1$ and compute as follows, where the big- O constants are independent of n :

$$\begin{aligned}h_H(f^n(x)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} c_i h_{E_i}(f^n(x)) + O(1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} c_i \hat{h}_{E_i}(f^n(x)) + O(1) + \sum_{i=\sigma+1}^{\rho} c_i h_{E_i}(f^n(x)) \quad \text{from (21),} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} c_i \hat{h}_{E_i}(f^n(x)) + O((1+\epsilon)^n) && (27) \\ &\quad \text{from (23), since } |\lambda_i| \leq 1 \text{ for } \sigma < i \leq \rho, \\ &= \sum_{i=k}^{\sigma} c_i \hat{h}_{E_i}(f^n(x)) + O((1+\epsilon)^n) \quad \text{from (24),} \\ &\leq O\left(\max_{k \leq i \leq \sigma} n^\rho |\lambda_i^n|\right) + O((1+\epsilon)^n) \quad \text{from (22),} \\ &\leq O(n^\rho |\lambda_k|^n) + O((1+\epsilon)^n) \quad \text{from (20),} \\ &\leq O(n^\rho |\lambda_k|^n) \quad \text{since } \epsilon < |\lambda_k| - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\overline{\alpha}_f(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_H(f^n(x))^{1/n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} O(n^\rho |\lambda_k|^n)^{1/n} = |\lambda_k|. \quad (28)$$

Combining (26) and (28) gives

$$|\lambda_k| \leq \underline{\alpha}_f(x) \leq \overline{\alpha}_f(x) \leq |\lambda_k|,$$

which completes the proof that if $\hat{h}_{E_k}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, then

$$\alpha_f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_H(f^n(x))^{1/n} = |\lambda_k|.$$

It remains to deal with the case that

$$\hat{h}_{E_1}(x) = \cdots = \hat{h}_{E_\sigma}(x) = 0,$$

which using (22) implies that

$$\hat{h}_{E_1}(f^n(x)) = \cdots = \hat{h}_{E_\sigma}(f^n(x)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \quad (29)$$

Substituting (29) into the earlier calculation of $h_H(f^n(x))$, more specifically into the line labeled (27), we find that

$$h_H(f^n(x)) = O((1 + \epsilon)^n).$$

So taking n^{th} -roots and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\overline{\alpha}_f(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_H(f^n(x))^{1/n} \leq 1 + \epsilon,$$

and since this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, we find that

$$\overline{\alpha}_f(x) \leq 1.$$

But it is clear from the definition that $\underline{\alpha}_f(x) \geq 1$, so we conclude in this case that the limit defining $\alpha_f(x)$ exists and is equal to 1.

This completes the proof that the limit defining the arithmetic degree exists. Further, we have shown that either $\alpha_f(x) = 1$, or else $\alpha_f(x)$ is equal to one of the eigenvalue of the linear transformation

$$f^* : \text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad (30)$$

where $\text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. However, the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space map (30) is in fact induced from the $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear lattice map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\text{Pic}(X)(K)}{\text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\text{tors}}} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \frac{\text{Pic}(X)(K)}{\text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\text{tors}}} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{Z}^\rho & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^\rho \end{array}$$

so the eigenvalues of f^* are algebraic integers. Consequently the arithmetic degree $\alpha_f(x)$ is also an algebraic integer. Finally, we note that

the set of eigenvalues of f^* acting on $\text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a finite set that does not depend on the point x , so $\alpha_f(x)$ takes on only finitely many values as x ranges over $X(\bar{K})$.

Finally, we briefly discuss what to do if K is a function field over k and if $A = \text{Pic}^0(X)$ has non-trivial K/k -trace. One possibility is to adjust each of the Jordan block linear equivalences (8) by adding a divisor whose divisor class lies in $\tau_{K/k}(A)(k)$ and use the fact that the corresponding height functions are negligible. Alternatively, we can work instead with a Jordan block basis for $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and replace the Jordan block linear equivalences with algebraic equivalences. This requires the construction of canonical heights associated to algebraic equivalences as described in Section 4. Using these heights, one can show that $\alpha_f(x)$ exists and is either equal to 1 or to one of the eigenvalues of $f^* : \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$, which eliminates the role of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ in the proof; see Remark 15. $\square$

4. CANONICAL HEIGHTS FOR ALGEBRAIC EQUIVALENCE POLARIZATIONS

In this section we state an analogue of Theorem 2 in which the linear equivalences are replaced by algebraic equivalences. We omit the proof which, *mutatis mutandis*, is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 12. *Let X/K be a normal projective variety, let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a K -morphism, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and let*

$$X^{(\lambda)}(\bar{K}) = \{x \in X(\bar{K}) : \alpha_f(x) < |\lambda|^2\}.$$

Let $D_0, D_1, \dots, D_\ell \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ be divisors that form a Jordan block with eigenvalue λ for the linear transformation $f^* : \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e.,

$$f^* D_0 \equiv \lambda D_0, \quad f^* D_1 \equiv D_0 + \lambda D_1, \quad \dots \quad f^* D_\ell \equiv D_{\ell-1} + \lambda D_\ell, \quad (31)$$

where $\equiv$ denotes algebraic equivalence. Then there is a unique function $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}} : X^{(\lambda)}(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ satisfying the functional equation

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}} \circ f = \Lambda \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}}$$

and the normalization condition

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^n(x)) - \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D}}(f^n(x)) \right\|^{1/n} \leq \alpha_f(x)^{1/2}. \quad (32)$$

The coordinate functions of $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{D}}$ satisfy the recursion relations (3) stated in Theorem 2.

Remark 13. If a divisor D is algebraically equivalent to 0, i.e., $D \equiv 0$, then a classical height estimate [6, Chapter 4, Corollary 3.4] says that $h_D = o(h_X^+)$. This estimate is not strong enough to prove Theorem 12. Instead we use the stronger estimate $h_D = O((h_X^+)^{1/2})$, which follows from the Néron–Tate theory of canonical heights on abelian varieties; see [4, Theorem B.5.9].

Remark 14. Continuing with algebraic equivalence relations as in Theorem 12, if we further assume that the eigenvalue is sufficiently large, then we can obtain a stronger result. More precisely, if the eigenvalue λ satisfies $|\lambda| > \delta_f^{1/2} > 1$, where δ_f is the dynamical degree of f , then [5] tells us that $X^{(\lambda)}(\bar{K}) = X(\bar{K})$, and the weak normalization condition (32) in Theorem 12 may be replaced by the stronger condition

$$\hat{h}_D = h_D + O((h_X^+)^{1/2}).$$

The proof, which we omit, again follows the lines of the proof of Theorem 12, but uses a key height inequality proven in [5].

Remark 15. Our proof of Theorem 1 shows that if $\alpha_f(x) > 1$, then $\alpha_f(x)$ is equal to an eigenvalue of the linear transformation

$$f^* : \text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

The vector space $\text{Pic}(X)(K)_{\mathbb{C}}$ clearly depends on K and may increase as K is enlarged. Using Theorem 12 and suitably modifying the proof of Theorem 1, one can show that in fact $\alpha_f(x) > 1$ is equal to an eigenvalue of $f^* : \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$, so there is in fact no need to consider the contribution from $\text{Pic}^0(X)(K)$.

5. ENDOMORPHISMS OF A POWER OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

In this section we consider canonical heights and arithmetic degrees for isogenies of an abelian variety $X = E^N$ that is a power of a non-CM elliptic curve E/K . To simplify some of the arguments, we will consider only the case that K is a number field, although most of the results are true over characteristic 0 function fields as long as E is geometrically non-isotrivial. Further, since our primary goal is to illustrate the arithmetic theory, we sketch or omit proofs of some well-known exercises from algebraic geometry.

Definition. The *dynamical degree* of a morphism $f : X \rightarrow X$, denoted δ_f , is the spectral radius of the linear transformation

$$f^* : \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}},$$

i.e., δ_f is the magnitude of the largest eigenvalue of f^* . More generally, if f is only assumed to be a rational map, then δ_f is the limiting value of $\text{SpecRad}((f^n)^*)^{1/n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ is a rational map and $x \in X(\bar{K})$ is a point whose f -orbit $\mathcal{O}_f(x) := \{f^n(x) : n \geq 0\}$ is well-defined, then it is proven in [5] that one always has the inequality

$$\bar{\alpha}_f(x) \leq \delta_f. \quad (33)$$

It is conjectured in [5] that $\alpha_f(x)$ exists, is an algebraic integer, takes on only finitely many values, and further, if $\mathcal{O}_f(x)$ is Zariski dense in X , then (33) is an equality. The following theorem proves this conjecture for a power of a non-CM elliptic curve.

Theorem 16. *Let E/K be a non-CM elliptic curve defined over a number field, let $X = E^N$, and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an isogeny defined over K .*

- (a) *For every $\mathbf{x} \in X(\bar{K})$, the limit defining the arithmetic degree $\alpha_f(\mathbf{x})$ converges, and the collection of arithmetic degrees*

$$\{\alpha_f(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in X(\bar{K})\}$$

is a finite set of algebraic integers.

- (b) *Let $\mathbf{x} \in X(\bar{K})$ be a point whose orbit $\mathcal{O}_f(\mathbf{x})$ is Zariski dense in X . Then $\alpha_f(\mathbf{x}) = \delta_f$.*

Writing points of $X = E^N$ as $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, we define projections and summation maps on X by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \pi_i : X \longrightarrow X, & \sigma_{ij} : X \longrightarrow X, \\ \mathbf{x} \longmapsto x_i & \mathbf{x} \longmapsto x_i + x_j \end{array}$$

and we use these maps to define divisors

$$\begin{aligned} H_i &= \pi_i^*(O) && \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N, \\ H_{ij} &= \sigma_{ij}^*(O) - \pi_i^*(O) - \pi_j^*(O) && \text{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

The Néron–Severi group of X has rank $\frac{1}{2}(N^2 + N)$, and the set of divisors

$$\{H_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\} \cup \{H_{ij} : 1 \leq j < i \leq N\}$$

is a basis for $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. This follows from the general description of $\text{NS}(X)$ for abelian varieties; see [7]. It is convenient to identify $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the space of symmetric N -by- N matrices as follows. To the class of a divisor

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i H_i + \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq N} \gamma_{ij} H_{ij} \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad (34)$$

we assign the real symmetric matrix M_F given by

$$M_F = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{31} & \cdots & \gamma_{N1} \\ \gamma_{21} & \alpha_2 & \gamma_{32} & \cdots & \gamma_{N2} \\ \gamma_{31} & \gamma_{32} & \alpha_3 & \cdots & \gamma_{N3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{N1} & \gamma_{N2} & \gamma_{N3} & \cdots & \alpha_N \end{pmatrix} \quad (35)$$

An intersection theory calculation then gives the following criterion for a divisor $F \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to be nef.

Proposition 17. *The divisor $F = \sum \alpha_i H_i + \sum \gamma_{ij} H_{ij} \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is nef if and only if its associated matrix M_F is positive semi-definite.*

We denote the classical Néron–Tate on E by $\hat{h}_E : E(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and we write

$$\langle P, Q \rangle_E = \hat{h}_E(P + Q) - \hat{h}_E(P) - \hat{h}_E(Q)$$

for the associated bilinear pairing, so in particular $\langle P, P \rangle_E = 2\hat{h}_E(P)$. We note that $\hat{h}_E$ extends to a positive definite quadratic form on $E(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{R}}$; see [8, XIII §9]. We next relate canonical heights on $X = E^N$ to the classical canonical height on E .

Proposition 18. *Let $F \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a divisor satisfying*

$$f^*F \equiv \lambda F \quad \text{for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ satisfy } |\lambda| > 1.$$

(Note that this is an algebraic equivalence, not a linear equivalence.)

(a) *For all $\mathbf{x} \in X(\bar{K})$, the limit*

$$\hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-n} h_F(f^n(\mathbf{x}))$$

converges, and the resulting function $\hat{h}_F : X(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\hat{h}_F \circ f = \lambda \hat{h}_F \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}_F = h_F + O(1).$$

(b) *Write F in terms of the basis described in Proposition 17(a) as*

$$F = \sum \alpha_i H_i + \sum \gamma_{ij} H_{ij} \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then the function $\hat{h}_F$ is given by the explicit formula

$$\hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \hat{h}_E(x_i) + \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq N} \gamma_{ij} \langle x_i, x_j \rangle_E.$$

(c) *Assume further that F is a non-zero nef divisor and that $\lambda = \delta_f > 1$ is the dynamical degree of f . Then there is a proper algebraic subgroup $Y \subsetneq X$ such that*

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in X(\bar{K}) : \hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) = 0\} \subset Y + X_{\text{tors}}.$$

- (d) *Continuing with the assumptions in (c) that F is a non-zero nef divisor and that $\lambda = \delta_f > 1$, let $\mathbf{x} \in X(\bar{K})$ be a point satisfying $\hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. Then the f -orbit $\mathcal{O}_f(\mathbf{x})$ of $\mathbf{x}$ is not Zariski dense in X .*

Proof. Let

$$\hat{g}_F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \hat{h}_E(x_i) + \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq N} \gamma_{ij} \langle x_i, x_j \rangle_E$$

be the function described in (b). Using standard properties of height functions, we compute

$$h_{X, H_i}(\mathbf{x}) = h_{X, \pi_i^*(O)}(\mathbf{x}) + O(1) = h_{E, (O)}(x_i) + O(1) = \hat{h}_E(x_i) + O(1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{X, H_{ij}}(\mathbf{x}) &= h_{X, \sigma_{ij}^*(O)}(\mathbf{x}) - h_{X, \pi_i^*(O)}(\mathbf{x}) - h_{X, \pi_j^*(O)}(\mathbf{x}) + O(1) \\ &= h_{E, (O)}(x_i + x_j) - h_{E, (O)}(x_i) - h_{E, (O)}(x_j) + O(1) \\ &= \hat{h}_E(x_i + x_j) - \hat{h}_E(x_i) - \hat{h}_E(x_j) + O(1) \\ &= \langle x_i, x_j \rangle_E + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h_F(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i h_{H_i}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq N} \gamma_{ij} h_{H_{ij}}(\mathbf{x}) + O(1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \hat{h}_E(x_i) + \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq N} \gamma_{ij} \langle x_i, x_j \rangle_E + O(1) \\ &= \hat{g}_F(\mathbf{x}) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

We next check that $\hat{g}_F \circ f = \lambda \hat{g}_F$. Since E does not have CM, the endomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X$ is given by an N -by- N matrix A_f having integer coefficients. This allows us to write f as

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = A_f \cdot \mathbf{x}. \quad (36)$$

Then using the identification $F \leftrightarrow M_F$ given by (35), which identifies $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the group of symmetric real matrices, an intersection theory calculation shows that the action of f^* on $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by conjugation by A_f , i.e.,

$$M_{f^*F} = {}^t A_f \cdot M_F \cdot A_f. \quad (37)$$

We now make the convention that “multiplication” of two points in $E(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is via the height pairing, i.e.,

$$x_i \cdot x_j = \langle x_i, x_j \rangle_E.$$

Then using the fact that the height pairing is bilinear, a short calculation shows that $\hat{g}_F$ may be succinctly written as

$$\hat{g}_F(\mathbf{x}) = {}^t\mathbf{x} \cdot M_F \cdot \mathbf{x}. \quad (38)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_F \circ f(\mathbf{x}) &= \hat{h}_F(A_f \mathbf{x}) && \text{from (36),} \\ &= {}^t(A_f \mathbf{x}) \cdot M_F \cdot (A_f \mathbf{x}) && \text{from (38),} \\ &= {}^t\mathbf{x} \cdot {}^tA_f \cdot M_F \cdot A_f \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ &= {}^t\mathbf{x} \cdot M_{f^*F} \cdot \mathbf{x} && \text{from (37),} \\ &= {}^t\mathbf{x} \cdot M_{\lambda F} \cdot \mathbf{x} && \text{since } f^*F \equiv \lambda F, \\ &= {}^t\mathbf{x} \cdot \lambda M_F \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ &= \lambda \hat{g}_F \circ f(\mathbf{x}) && \text{from (38).} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof that $\hat{g}_F \circ f = \lambda \hat{g}_F$.

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_F(\mathbf{x}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-n} \hat{g}_F(f^n(\mathbf{x})) \quad \text{since } \hat{g}_F \circ f = \lambda \hat{g}_F, \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-n} (h_F(f^n(\mathbf{x})) + O(1)) \quad \text{since } h_F = \hat{g}_F + O(1), \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-n} h_F(f^n(\mathbf{x})) \quad \text{since } |\lambda| > 1 \text{ by assumption.} \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the limit $\hat{h}_F$ in (a) converges and is equal to the function described in (b). It also proves that $\hat{h}_F$ has the properties stated in (a), since we proved that $\hat{g}_F$ has these properties. This completes the proof of (a) and (b).

(c) We take a point $\mathbf{x}$ satisfying $\hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, or equivalently

$${}^t\mathbf{x} \cdot M_F \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0.$$

We are assuming that F is nef, so Proposition 17 tells us that the matrix M_F is positive semi-definite, and the assumption that $F \neq 0$ implies that $M_F \neq 0$.

Since the matrix M_F is positive semi-definite and non-zero, we can write the associated quadratic form as a non-trivial sum of squares. Thus we can choose non-zero vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $1 \leq r \leq N$ so that the quadratic form associated to M_F has the form

$${}^t\mathbf{z} M_F \mathbf{z} = \sum_{i=1}^r ({}^t\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{z})^2 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

In particular, we have

$${}^t\mathbf{z} M_F \mathbf{z} = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad {}^t\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{z} = \dots = {}^t\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{z} = 0.$$

Applying this to $\mathbf{x}$ and the canonical height pairing on E , we see that the assumption that $\hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ implies that

$$\hat{h}_E({}^t\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}) = \cdots = \hat{h}_E({}^t\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{x}) = 0.$$

We now use the fact that $\hat{h}_E$ is positive definite on $E(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{R}}$ [8, XIII §9] to conclude that

$${}^t\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x} = \cdots = {}^t\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \text{in } E(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

In particular, setting $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_1$ to ease notation, we have proven that $\mathbf{x}$ is in the kernel of the non-trivial $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{a}} : X(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow E(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \mathbf{y} \longmapsto {}^t\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y}.$$

But $\mathbf{x}$ is in the $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear subspace $X(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $X(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{R}}$, so $\mathbf{x}$ is an element of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear subspace

$$\ker(\varphi_{\mathbf{a}}) \cap X(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

of $X(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Further, since $\mathbf{a} \neq 0$, elementary linear algebra shows that this intersection is not all of $X(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, so we can find a non-zero integral vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that

$$\ker(\varphi_{\mathbf{a}}) \cap X(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \{\mathbf{y} \in X(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{Q}} : {}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0\}.$$

We note that $\mathbf{b}$ depends only on $\mathbf{a}$, which in turn depends only on the divisor F ; in particular, the vector $\mathbf{b}$ does not depend on the point $\mathbf{x}$. We let

$$Y_{\mathbf{b}} = \{\mathbf{y} \in X(\bar{K}) : {}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0\},$$

so $Y_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a proper algebraic subgroup of X . (We do not require that $Y_{\mathbf{b}}$ be connected.) Since the kernel of $X(\bar{K}) \rightarrow X(\bar{K})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is precisely $X(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}}$, we have proven that that

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in X(\bar{K}) : \hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) = 0\} \subset Y_{\mathbf{b}}(\bar{K}) + X(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}}, \quad (39)$$

which completes the proof of (c).

(d) We assume that $\mathbf{x} \in X(\bar{K})$ is a point satisfying $\hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. Then for all $n \geq 0$ we have $\hat{h}_F(f^n(\mathbf{x})) = \delta_f^n \hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, so (39) tells us that

$$f^n(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}_n + \mathbf{t}_n \quad \text{with } \mathbf{y}_n \in Y_{\mathbf{b}}(\bar{K}) \text{ and } \mathbf{t}_n \in X(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}}.$$

Let $L = K(\mathbf{x})$ be the number field generated by $\mathbf{x}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_f(\mathbf{x}) \subset X(L)$, so using the fact that ${}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y}_n = 0$ and ${}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{t}_n \in E(\bar{K})_{\text{tors}}$, we find that

$${}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{t}_n = {}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y}_n + {}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{t}_n = {}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot f^n(\mathbf{x}) \in E(L)_{\text{tors}}.$$

We note that $E(L)_{\text{tors}}$ is a finite set, since L/K is a finite extension. For each $z \in E(L)_{\text{tors}}$, we fix a point $\mathbf{u}_z \in X(\bar{K})$ satisfying ${}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_z = z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_f(\mathbf{x}) &\subseteq \bigcup_{z \in E(L)_{\text{tors}}} \{\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{t} \in X : \mathbf{y} \in Y_{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{t} \in X_{\text{tors}}, {}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{t} = z\} \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{z \in E(L)_{\text{tors}}} \{\mathbf{x} \in X : {}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{x} = z\} \\ &= \bigcup_{z \in E(L)_{\text{tors}}} \{\mathbf{x} \in X : {}^t\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}_z) = 0\} \\ &= \bigcup_{z \in E(L)_{\text{tors}}} (Y_{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{u}_z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{O}_f(\mathbf{x})$ is contained in a finite union of translates of $Y_{\mathbf{b}}$, so it is not Zariski dense in X . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 16. Theorem 16(a) is a special case of Theorem 1, so we are left to prove (b). Further, if $\delta_f = 1$, then we have $\alpha_f(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ for all $\mathbf{x}$, so (b) is a tautology. We may thus assume that $\delta_f > 1$.

It is a general fact that given any endomorphism $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ of a any projective variety, there is always a non-zero nef eigendivisor $F \in \text{NS}(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying $f^*F \equiv \delta_f F$; cf. [5, Remark 28]. (This is true more generally if f is an algebraically stable rational map.) The existence of such an F follows from an elementary Perron–Frobenius-type result of Birkhoff [2] applied to the vector space $\text{NS}(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$, the linear transformation f^* , and the nef cone in $\text{NS}(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We let F be such a divisor for our variety $X = E^N$ and our endomorphism f .

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_f(\mathbf{x}) &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h_F(f^n(\mathbf{x}))|^{1/n} \quad \text{from Lemma 11,} \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{h}_F(f^n(\mathbf{x})) + O(1)|^{1/n} \quad \text{from Proposition 18(a),} \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\delta_f^n \hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) + O(1)|^{1/n} \quad \text{from Proposition 18(a),} \\ &= \delta_f \quad \text{since Proposition 18(d) and the assumption} \\ &\quad \text{that } \mathcal{O}_f(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is dense in } X \text{ imply that } \hat{h}_F(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

But we always have $\alpha_f(\mathbf{x}) \leq \delta_f$ ([5, Theorem 4]), which completes the proof that $\alpha_f(\mathbf{x}) = \delta_f$. $\square$

Question 19. Let K be a number field. Suppose that the dynamical degree of $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies $\delta_f > 1$, and let $F \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a divisor

satisfying $f^*F \sim \delta_f F$. What can one say about the set

$$X_{f,F} := \{x \in X(\bar{K}) : \hat{h}_{f,F}(x) = 0\} \quad (40)$$

If F is ample, then the normalization condition $\hat{h}_{f,F} = h_F + O(1)$ shows that $X_{f,F}$ is a set of bounded height with respect to an ample divisor, which provides a great deal of information. However, if we only assume that $F \neq 0$ is nef, then the situation is far less clear. But one might hope that $X_{f,F}$ is still small in some appropriate sense. For example, is it true that if $x \in X_{f,F}$, then its f -orbit is not Zariski dense in X ? If so, then we could prove that

$$\mathcal{O}_f(x) \text{ is Zariski dense} \implies \alpha_f(x) = \delta_f \quad (41)$$

by using the following two facts:

- (1) There always exists at least one non-zero nef divisor F satisfying $f^*F \equiv \delta_f F$ (although there need not exist an ample divisor with this property).
- (2) If $\delta_f > 1$ and $\hat{h}_{f,F}(x) \neq 0$, then $\alpha_f(x) = \delta_f$.

This is how we proved (41) when $X = E^N$. We note that (41) was conjectured more generally for rational maps in [5, 9].

6. AN EXAMPLE OF A JORDAN BLOCK CANONICAL HEIGHT

In this section we consider the square $X = E^2$ of a non-CM elliptic curve E/K and endomorphisms of the form

$$f : X \longrightarrow X, \quad f(x, y) = (ax + by, ay)$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $|a| \geq 2$ and $b \neq 0$. Then with notation as in Section 5, the map f and the divisor $F = \alpha H_1 + \beta H_2 + \gamma H_{12} \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ have associated matrices

$$A_f = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_F = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \gamma & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The action of f^* on $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by

$$M_{f^*F} = {}^t A_f \cdot M_F \cdot A_f,$$

so in particular we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{f^*H_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab \\ ab & b^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_{f^*H_2} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_{f^*H_{12}} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a^2 \\ a^2 & 2ab \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we easily construct divisors

$$D_0 = 4a^3b^2H_2, \quad D_1 = 2a^2bH_{12}, \quad D_2 = 2aH_1 - bH_{12}$$

that form a Jordan block basis for $\text{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$f^*D_0 \equiv a^2D_0, \quad f^*D_1 \equiv a^2D_1 + D_0, \quad f^*D_2 \equiv a^2D_2 + D_1.$$

There are Jordan block canonical heights associated to $\{D_0, D_1, D_2\}$. An argument similar to the proof of Proposition 18 allows us to express them in terms of the canonical height pairing on E . We summarize the result in the following proposition.

Proposition 20. *Let E/K be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$ be the Néron–Tate pairing on E , let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $|a| \geq 2$ and $b \neq 0$, and let f be the isogeny*

$$f : E^2 \longrightarrow E^2, \quad f(P, Q) = (aP + bQ, aQ).$$

Then $\text{NS}(E^2)$ has rank 3, and the action of f^* on $\text{NS}(E^2)_{\mathbb{R}}$ consists of a single Jordan block with eigenvalue a^2 . There is a Jordan basis for $\text{NS}(E^2)_{\mathbb{R}}$ so that the three canonical heights

$$\hat{h}_{D_0}(x_1, x_2) = 4a^3b^2\langle x_2, x_2 \rangle_E,$$

$$\hat{h}_{D_1}(x_1, x_2) = 2a^2b\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_E,$$

$$\hat{h}_{D_2}(x_1, x_2) = \langle x_1, 2ax_1 - bx_2 \rangle_E,$$

satisfy $\hat{h}_{D_k} = h_{D_k} + O(1)$ and

$$\hat{h}_{D_0} \circ f = a^2\hat{h}_{D_0}, \quad \hat{h}_{D_1} \circ f = a^2\hat{h}_{D_1} + \hat{h}_{D_0}, \quad \hat{h}_{D_2} \circ f = a^2\hat{h}_{D_2} + \hat{h}_{D_1}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baragar. Canonical vector heights on algebraic $K3$ surfaces with Picard number two. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 46(4):495–508, 2003.
- [2] G. Birkhoff. Linear transformations with invariant cones. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 74:274–276, 1967.
- [3] G. S. Call and J. H. Silverman. Canonical heights on varieties with morphisms. *Compositio Math.*, 89(2):163–205, 1993.
- [4] M. Hindry and J. H. Silverman. *Diophantine Geometry: An Introduction*, volume 201 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [5] S. Kawaguchi and J. H. Silverman. On the dynamical and arithmetic degrees of rational self-maps of algebraic varieties, 2012. [arXiv:1208.0815](#).
- [6] S. Lang. *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [7] D. Mumford. *Abelian Varieties*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 5. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1970.

- [8] J. H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.
- [9] J. H. Silverman. Dynamical degree, arithmetic entropy, and canonical heights for dominant rational self-maps of projective space, 2011. *Ergodic Th. and Dyn. Sys.*, to appear, [arXiv:1111.5664](#).

E-mail address: kawaguch@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp, jhs@math.brown.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY,
KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, BOX 1917 BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE,
RI 02912 USA